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Tor

- A distributed network run by volunteers to separate identification from the routing task
Features deployment

- Deploying new protocol features is painfully difficult
Features deployment

- Deploying new protocol features is painfully difficult
The impossible choice
It is also about security!

- Protocol tolerance (as implemented today) is a vector to efficient attacks\(^1, 2, 3, 4, 5\)

\(^1\): "Dropping on the Edge: Flexibility and Traffic Confirmation in Onion Routing Protocols", PoPETs 2018

\(^2\): "CMU-FBI relay\_early confirmation attack", (see Tor's blog post)

\(^3\): "The Sniper Attack: Anonymously Deanonymizing and Disabling the Tor Network", NDSS 2014

\(^4\): "Trawling for Tor Hidden Services: Detection, Measurement, Deanonymization", S&P 2013

\(^5\): "A Practical Congestion Attack on Tor Using Long Paths", Usenix Security 2009

We need to deploy fixes faster

... without excluding any relay from the network

(Probably impossible with *current* deployment methods)
Introducing FAN

Definition:

We call FAN, for Flexible Anonymous Network, an anonymous network architecture able to transparently change its behavior for one or many users without having to restart relays or perturbing other user connections while proceeding to add, remove or modify protocol features.

- Threat model is context-dependent (we will see why)
- High performance
What is the magic trick?
A userland VM

- Run within the Tor process
- Implements a RISC architecture
- Load and execute "Protocol Plugins" (upon bytecode authentication)
- Protocol Plugins are sandboxed

Diagram:

- C
- LLVM Front end
- Rust
- LLVM IR
- .wasm or bpf bytecode
- JIT x86
- JIT ARM
- Protocol Plugins, from high-level code to machine code
Hello World!

code in hello_world.c:

```c
#include "core/or/plugin.h"
// things that can be defined in a .h and included here
#include "hello_world_features.h"
// My plugin main entry point
uint64_t hello_world(void *args) {
    log_fn_(LOG_DEBUG, LD_PLUGIN, __FUNCTION__,
        "Hello, I am becoming self-aware. Run.");
    return 0;
}
```

Meta-info in hello_world.plugin:

```plaintext
hello_world replace some_tor_function for_somemodule hello_w
```
How would Protocol Plugins impact performance on a real use case?
10000ft flow-control overview

- Is versionned (new version currently in deployment)
- New version solves fairness and security issues, but would take many years to be widely used
  - Deployment could be almost instantaneous with Protocol Plugins
SENDME cells in a plugin

4 nodes (client-relay-relay-relay) on the loopback (4 cpus); 20 MB stream pushed 50 times
Some perf eval

200 relays, 2000 clients:
How to properly integrate?

- What *should* be extensible? (ongoing research)
- What about safety and security for a network-wide extension system? (ongoing research)
  - Safety: sending protocol plugins to the whole network *must* be a multi-dev agreement
  - Security: threshold signatures (TUF?[1]); *must* survive key compromise;
- Is eBPF the right tool? What about webAssembly? (ongoing research)
- Advancing Tor's control over plugin execution (ongoing research)

Custom Internet Privacy (Further Work)

- Can we go further than re-designing forward compatibility?
- What if clients plug their own set of features to their ephemeral connection?
  - Could improve performance/anonymity tradeoff (ongoing research)
    - e.g., Plug a padding scheme when using a given .onion
    - e.g., Join a mixnet plugin when sending emails
  - We could push the threat model to the application (or even to the user for expert mode)
- Protocol Plugins could ease contributions from the research community
- Huh. Great remote code exploitation toolset, what can go wrong?
  - 1 piece of the puzzle to defend in our upcoming ACM SIGCOMM'19 "Pluginizing QUIC" work
Conclusion

- Protocol Plugins is a generic solution, and may be used to address many problems
  - e.g., censorship? Using an authorized application supporting protocol plugins to hide ephemeral features (e.g., end-to-end secure messaging over bitcoin gossiping protocol?)
  - ... many more ;)
- Custom Internet Privacy: the quest for the one anonymous network that fits many usages!
- 10+ years of research ahead with theoretical and practical challenges!
  - Getting security right is going to take time
- Disclaimer: current VM implementation is experimental and has some strong limitations
  - But heh, that would eventually be much improved

Be conservative in what you do, stay conservative in what you accept from others